How Much Meson Physics Can One Tie to DCSB?

Peter Tandy
Center for Nuclear Research
Kent State University





KENT STATE UNIVERSITY

Topics

- DSE modeling of meson physics (ladder-rainbow)
- Summary: successes and problems
- More recently: heavy quark mesons, DIS on pion
- Steps beyond ladder-rainbow

Lattice-QCD and DSE-based modeling

- Lattice: $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int \; D\bar{q}qG \;\; \mathcal{O}(\bar{q}, q, G) \;\; e^{-\mathcal{S}[\bar{q},q,G]}$
  - Euclidean metric, x-space, Monte-Carlo
  - Issues: lattice spacing and vol, sea and valence m_q, fermion Det
  - Large time limit $\Rightarrow$ nearest hadronic mass pole

- EOMs (DSEs): $0 = \int \; D\bar{q}qG \;\; \frac{\delta}{\delta q(x)} \; e^{-\mathcal{S}[\bar{q},q,G] + (\bar{\eta},q) + (\bar{q},\eta) + (J,G)}$
  - Euclidean metric, p-space, continuum integral eqns
  - Issues: truncation and phenomenology—not full QCD
  - Analtyic contin. $\Rightarrow$ nearest hadronic mass pole
  - Can be quick to identify systematics, mechanisms, $\cdots$

DSE-based modeling of Hadron Physics

- Soft physics: truncate DSEs to min: 2-pt, 3-pt fns
- Should be relativistically covariant—-convenient for decays, Form Factors, etc
  - No boosts needed on wavefns of recoiling bound st.
  - ∞ d.o.f. $\rightarrow$ few quasi-particle effective d.o.f.
- Do not make a 3-dimensional reduction
- Preserve 1-loop QCD renorm group behavior in UV
- Preserve global symmetries, conserved em currents, etc
- Preserve PCAC $\Rightarrow$ Goldstone's Thm
- Can't preserve local color gauge covariance—-just choose Landau gauge [RG fixed pt]
- Parameterize the deep infrared (large distance) QCD coupling

Constraints on Modeling

- Preserve vector WTI, and axial vector WTI
  E.g.
  $$-iP_\mu \Gamma_{5\mu}(k;P) = S^{-1}(k_+)\gamma_5 \tfrac{\tau}{2} + \gamma_5 \tfrac{\tau}{2} S^{-1}(k_-) - 2\, m_q(\mu)\, \Gamma_5(k;P)$$
- $\Rightarrow$ kernels of DSE_q and $K_{\rm BSE}$ are related
- Ladder-rainbow is the simplest implementation
- Goldstone Theorem preserved, ps octet masses good, indep of model details
- DCSB $\Rightarrow \pi$: $\quad \Gamma^0_\pi(p^2) = \frac{i\gamma_5}{f^0_\pi}\ \left[\, \frac{1}{4}\, \mathrm{tr}\, S_0^{-1}(p^2)\right]\ + \cdots$
- Here, 1-body and 2-body systems are the same

Ladder-Rainbow Model



- $K_{\rm BSE} \rightarrow -\gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \; 4\pi\alpha_{\rm eff}(q^2) \; D_{\mu\nu}^{\rm free}(q) \; \gamma_\nu \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$
- $\alpha_{\rm eff}(q^2) \quad \overrightarrow{IR} \quad \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\mu=1 \text{ GeV}} = -(240\text{MeV})^3$, incl vertex dressing
- $\alpha_{\rm eff}(q^2) \quad \overrightarrow{UV} \quad \alpha_s^{1-\rm loop}(q^2)$



- P. Maris & P.C. Tandy, PRC60, 055214 (1999)
  $M_\rho, M_\phi, M_{K^\star}$ good to 5%, $f_\rho, f_\phi, f_{K^\star}$ good to 10%

Summary of light meson results

$m_{u=d} = 5.5$ MeV, $m_s = 125$ MeV at $\mu = 1$ GeV

Pseudoscalar (PM, Roberts, PRC56, 3369)

	expt.	calc.
$-\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^0_\mu$	(0.236 GeV)^3	(0.241†)^3
m_π	0.1385 GeV	0.138†
f_π	0.0924 GeV	0.093†
m_K	0.496 GeV	0.497†
f_K	0.113 GeV	0.109

Charge radii (PM, Tandy, PRC62, 055204)

r^2_π	0.44 fm^2	0.45
$r^2_{K^+}$	0.34 fm^2	0.38
$r^2_{K^0}$	-0.054 fm^2	-0.086

$\gamma\pi\gamma$ transition (PM, Tandy, PRC65, 045211)

$g_{\pi\gamma\gamma}$	0.50	0.50
$r^2_{\pi\gamma\gamma}$	0.42 fm^2	0.41

Weak K_{l3} decay (PM, Ji, PRD64, 014032)

$\lambda_+(e3)$	0.028	0.027
$\Gamma(K_{e3})$	7.6 $\cdot 10^6$ s^{-1}	7.38
$\Gamma(K_{\mu 3})$	5.2 $\cdot 10^6$ s^{-1}	4.90

Vector mesons (PM, Tandy, PRC60, 055214)

$m_{\rho/\omega}$	0.770 GeV	0.742
$f_{\rho/\omega}$	0.216 GeV	0.207
$m_{K^\star}$	0.892 GeV	0.936
$f_{K^\star}$	0.225 GeV	0.241
m_ϕ	1.020 GeV	1.072
f_ϕ	0.236 GeV	0.259

Strong decay (Jarecke, PM, Tandy, PRC67, 035202)

$g_{\rho\pi\pi}$	6.02	5.4
$g_{\phi KK}$	4.64	4.3
$g_{K^\star K\pi}$	4.60	4.1

Radiative decay (PM, nucl-th/0112022)

$g_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_\rho$	0.74	0.69
$g_{\omega\pi\gamma}/m_\omega$	2.31	2.07
$(g_{K^\star K\gamma}/m_K)^+$	0.83	0.99
$(g_{K^\star K\gamma}/m_K)^0$	1.28	1.19

Scattering length (PM, Cotanch, PRD66, 116010)

a^0_0	0.220	0.170
a^2_0	0.044	0.045
a^1_1	0.038	0.036

bsampl

DSE kernel constrained from Lattice QCD

— Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (03)

- Qu-lattice $D_{\rm gluon}(q)$

  Leinweber, Bowman et al

  PRD60, hep-lat/9811027

- Find $\Gamma_\nu^{\rm eff}(q,p)$ so DSE produces $S_{\rm latt}(p)$ from $D_{\rm latt}(q)$



$$g^2\gamma_\mu D(p-q)\, Z_{1\rm F}(\mu,\Lambda)\,\Gamma_\nu(q,p) \to \gamma_\mu g^2 D(p-q)\gamma_\nu\, V(p-q)$$

UV limit: $$g^2\, D(k^2)\, V(k^2) \to \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm s}^{1-\rm loop}(k^2)}{k^2}$$

Lattice-assisted DSE Results

- Evident vertex enhancement
- Curvature in low m_q depn
- $M^{\rm IR}(p^2)$ 40% below linear
- Chiral Extrapolation
- $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^{\rm qu-lat}_{\mu=1\ \rm GeV} = -(190\ \rm MeV)^3$
- $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle^{\rm qu-lat} \approx \langle\bar{q}q\rangle^{\rm expt}/2$
- f_π 30% low





Qu-lattice $S(p), D(q)$ mapped to a DSE kernel

$$S(p) = Z(p)\,[i \not p + M(p)]^{-1}$$



Quenched lattice ⇒ m_q Depn of DSE Kernel



Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (2003)

Quenched lattice $\Rightarrow m_q$ *Depn of DSE Kernel*



Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (2003)

Quenched lattice $\Rightarrow m_q$ Depn of DSE Kernel



Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (2003)

Quenched lattice $\Rightarrow m_q$ *Depn of DSE Kernel*



Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (2003)

Quenched lattice ⇒ m_q Depn of DSE Kernel



Bhagwat,Pichowsky,Roberts,Tandy, PRC68, 015203 (2003)

Quark Confinement—positivity violation

- Confinement/positivity analysis (Osterwalder-Schrader axiom No. 3)
- Fourier transf $\sigma_S(p_4, \vec{p} = 0)$ to Eucl time T



solid = lattice prop, dashed = MT DSE, dotted = cc pole eg

DSE and Lattice results for M_V and M_{ps}



Pion electromagnetic form factor

$$\Lambda_\mu \;=\; (P' + P)_\mu \, F_\pi(Q^2) \quad = \quad N_c \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \, \mathrm{Tr}\big[\bar{\Gamma}^\pi \, S \, i\Gamma_\mu \, S \, \Gamma^\pi \, S\big]$$



Pion $F(Q^2)$: Low Q^2

(P Maris & PCT, PRC 61, 045202 (2000)

(P. Maris & PCT, PRC 62, 0555204 (2000)

$r_\pi^{\rm DSE} = 0.68$ fm $\qquad r_\pi^{\rm expt} = 0.663 \pm .006$ fm



Kaon $F(Q^2)$: Low Q^2

- Impulse approx + rainbow/ladder $\Rightarrow$
  conserved em current, correct charge of K^+ and K^0



charge radii	experiment	DSE calc
r_π^2	0.44 ± 0.01 fm^2	0.45 fm^2
r_{K+}^2	0.34 ± 0.05 fm^2	0.38 fm^2
$r_{K^0}^2$	-0.054 ± 0.026 fm^2	-0.086 fm^2

Pion electromagnetic form factor



PM and Tandy, PRC62,055204 (2000) [nucl-th/0005015]

Pion electromagnetic form factor



JLab data from Volmer *et al*, PRL86, 1713 (2001) [nucl-ex/0010009]
PM and Tandy, PRC62,055204 (2000) [nucl-th/0005015]

Pion electromagnetic form factor



PM and Tandy, PRC62,055204 (2000) [nucl-th/0005015]
2006a: V. Tadevosyan *et al*, [nucl-ex/0607007], 2006b: T. Horn *et al*, [nucl-ex/0607005]

1-loop chiral correction to r_π vs m_π



P. Maris and PCT, in preparation

1-loop chiral correction to r_π vs m_π



P. Maris and PCT, in preparation

LR: Successes, Problems, Resolutions

- Successes:
  - S-wave mesons, PS and V, light quarks and QQ, no spurious thresholds
  - Exact PS mass formula, Goldstone Thm, ΔM_{HF} from DCSB
  - f_{EW}, strong decays, radiative decays, form factors, $Q^2 < 5GeV^2$
- Problems:
  - Axial vector ($L > 0$) mesons ($a_1, b_1, \cdots$) too light
  - Physical diquarks, no physical V or PS qQ states
  - Excited states are difficult
- Probable Resolution:
  - Quark-gluon vertex: $\Gamma_\mu \Rightarrow \Sigma_q \Rightarrow K_{BSE}$
  - Use analysis of spacelike correlators, 3-pt functions

From Gluon vertex to BSE Kernel

- A symmetry-preserving procedure [Bender, Roberts, von Smekal, PLB380, (1996), nucl-th/9602012; Munczek 1995] ; Axial vector and vector WTIs, and Goldstone Thm preserved
- $K_{\mathrm{BSE}}(x', y'; x, y) = -\frac{\delta}{\delta S(x,y)} \Sigma(x', y')$
- Vertex $\Gamma_\mu(p, q) = \sum$ diagrams $\Rightarrow K_{\mathrm{BSE}} = \sum$ diagrams
- If Σ contains:

- K_{BSE} contains:



- Independent of model parameters. Model does not fight chiral symmetry, use light vector mesons to fix parameters

$\gamma^\star\pi^0 \to \gamma$ *Transition Form Factor*



- Abelian axial anomaly $+\ \pi$ pole in $\Gamma_{5\mu} \Rightarrow G(0,0)$
- Chiral limit $G(0,0) = \frac{1}{2}$ $\Rightarrow \Gamma_{\pi\gamma\gamma}$ to 2%



$\gamma^\star\pi\gamma^\star$ *Asymptotic Limit*

Lepage and Brodsky, PRD22, 2157 (1980): LC-QCD/OPE $\Rightarrow$



Deep Inelastic Lepton Scattering

$$W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} Disc_\omega T^{\mu\nu}(\omega) \to -g^{\mu\nu} \sum_q \frac{e_q^2}{2} f_q(x) + \cdots$$

After Bjorken kinematic limit:

$$f_q(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dz^- e^{iq^+ z^-} \langle \pi(P) | \bar{\psi}_q(\hat{z}) \gamma^+ \psi(0) | \pi(P) \rangle_c$$

$$f_q(x) = \int d^4k \; \delta(k^+ - x\, M_\pi) \cdots$$

Valence quark number:

$$N_q^V = \int_0^1 dx \; \{ f_q(x) - f_{\bar{q}}(x) \} = \frac{1}{2P^+} \langle \pi(P) | J^+(0) | \pi(P) \rangle = 1$$

DIS on pion: from DSE-BSE solutions

- Valence quarks, handbag diagram, γ_μ
- Data: J. S. Conway et al, PRD39, 92 (1989)
- Previous: Hecht, Roberts, Schmidt, PRC63, 025213 (2001)

$\Gamma_\pi(k,P) \approx i\gamma_5\, B_0(k^2)/f_\pi^0$

$S(p)$ fit to data



- Large x behavior: $(1-x)^\alpha \quad , \alpha =$?

DIS on pion: large x behavior?



- Fit: $a\,x\,(1-x)^{\alpha(x)}$
- BSE ampls: pQCD behavior sets in at a larger scale

DIS on pion: large x behavior?



- Global fits to (limited) DIS data produce $\alpha \sim 1.5$
- Parton model (F-J), pQCD (Brodsky, Ezawa), DSEs, $\Rightarrow \alpha \sim 2+$
- Constituent q models, NJL, duality, etc $\Rightarrow \alpha \sim 1$

Quark Distributions in K



- Some dependence of $u(x)$ on environment

Exact PS Meson Mass Formula for any m_q

- Flavor non-singlet and singlet (incl anomaly)

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\partial_\mu(z)\langle\, j^\alpha_{5\mu}(z)\, q(x)\bar{q}(y)\,\rangle &= 2i\,\mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}\,\langle j^\beta_5(z)\, q(x)\bar{q}(y)\,\rangle \\
&\quad -2i\ \mathrm{tr_f}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha)\ \langle\, Q_t(z) q(x)\bar{q}(y)\,\rangle \\
&\quad +\delta(z-x)\, i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha\ \langle\, q(x)\bar{q}(y)\,\rangle + \langle\, q(x)\bar{q}(y)\,\rangle\ i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha\,\delta(y-z)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Matrix elements, amputated $\Rightarrow$ AV-WTI

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_\mu\Gamma^\alpha_{5\mu}(k;P) &= -2i\ \mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\beta_5(k;P) - \delta_{\alpha,0}\ \Gamma_A(k;P) \\
&\quad + S^{-1}(k_+)\, i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha + i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha S^{-1}(k_-)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Residues at PS poles $\Rightarrow$ $\boxed{m_p^2 f_p^\alpha = 2\,\mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}\rho_p^\beta + \delta^{\alpha,0}\, n_p}$

$$
n_p = 2\ \mathrm{tr_f}(\mathcal{F}^0)\ \langle 0|Q_t|p\rangle\ , \qquad \rho^\alpha_p(\mu) = \langle 0|\bar{q}\,\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha\, q|p\rangle\ , \qquad p = \text{any PS}
$$

——[Bhagwat, Chang, Liu, Roberts, PCT, PRC **76**, 2007; arXiv:0708.1118]

Chiral limit massless states?

$$i\partial_\mu(z)\langle\, j^\alpha_{5\mu}(z)\, q(x)\bar q(y)\,\rangle = 2i\,\mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}\,\langle j^\beta_5(z)\, q(x)\bar q(y)\,\rangle$$
$$-2i\;\mathrm{tr_f}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha)\;\langle\, Q_t(z) q(x)\bar q(y)\,\rangle$$
$$+\delta(z-x)\, i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha\;\langle\, q(x)\bar q(y)\,\rangle + \langle\, q(x)\bar q(y)\,\rangle\; i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^\alpha\,\delta(y-z)$$

- Chiral limit, non-singlet flavor: $\Rightarrow P^2 = 0$ pole forced by DCSB
- No singlet Goldstone boson **if, and only if**:
  $$2i\mathrm{tr_f}(\mathcal{F}^0)\;\int d^4z\langle\, Q_t(z) q(0)\bar q(0)\,\rangle \equiv\; -\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} i\mathcal{F}^0\;\left\{\gamma_5,\; S_0(k)\right\}$$
- That is: $\boxed{N_f\;\mathrm{tr_{cs}}\,\gamma_5\;\langle Q_t\, q(0)\bar q(0)\,\rangle_\mu \equiv \langle\,\bar q\, q\,\rangle_\mu}$
- Can this be verfied? Lattice?

Flavor Non-singlet PS Mass Relation

$$f_H \; m_H^2 = 2\, m_q(\mu) \; \rho_H(\mu)$$





PM, Roberts, Tandy, PLB420, 267 (1998) [nucl-th/9707003]

Inaccuracy of GMOR

qQ case:



GMOR: 0.2%(π); 4%(K); 14%(0.4GeV); 30%(D)

Quark mass functions from DSE solutions



Constituent Mass Concept for c- and b-quarks

All GeV	D(uc)	D^*(uc)	D_s(sc)	D_s^*(sc)
expt M	1.86	2.01	1.97	2.11
calc M	1.85(FIT)	2.04	1.97	2.17
expt f	0.222	?	0.294	?
calc f	0.154	0.160	0.197	0.180

All GeV	B(ub)	B^*(ub)	B_s(sb)	B_s^*(sb)	B_c(cb)	B_c^*(cb)
expt M	5.28	5.33	5.37	5.41	6.29	?
calc M	5.27(FIT)	5.32	5.38	5.42	6.36	6.44
expt f	0.176	?	?	?	?	?
calc f	0.105	0.182	0.144	0.20	0.210	0.18

- Fit $\Rightarrow$ constituent masses: $M_c^{\rm cons} = 2.0$ GeV, $M_b^{\rm cons} = 5.3$ GeV
- Consistent with $M^{DSE}(p^2 \sim -M^2)$ generated by $m_c = 1.2 \pm 0.2, \;\; m_b = 4.2 \pm 0.2$, [PDG, $\mu = 2$ GeV]
- Does heavy quark dressing contribute anything? Too much in this DSE model—no mass shell !

Compare Quark Masses with PDG



Quarkonia

All GeV	M_{η_c}	f_{η_c}	$M_{J/\psi}$	$f_{J/\psi}$
expt	2.98	0.340	3.09	0.411
calc with $M_c^{\rm cons}$	3.02	0.239	3.19	0.198
calc with $\Sigma_c^{\rm DSE}(p^2)$	3.04	0.387	3.24	0.415

All GeV	M_{η_b}	f_{η_b}	M_{Υ}	f_{Υ}
expt	9.4 ?	?	9.46	0.708
calc with $M_b^{\rm cons}$	9.6	0.244	9.65	0.210
calc with $\Sigma_b^{\rm DSE}(p^2)$	9.59	0.692	9.66	0.682

- QQ and qQ decay constants too low by 30-50% in constituent mass approximation
- Quarkonia decay constants much better for DSE dressed quarks (within 5% of expt.)
- IR sector (gluon k below ~ 0.8 GeV) contribute little for bb or cc quarkonia in DSE, BSEs
- QQ states are more point-like than qq or qQ states

Recovery of a qQ Mass Shell

- Suppress gluon k below ~ 0.8 GeV in DSE dressing of b propagator
- Retain IR sector for dressed "light" quark and BSE kernel
- Now a mass shell is produced

All GeV	B(ub)	B*(ub)	B$_s$(sb)	B$_s^*$(sb)	B$_c$(cb)	B$_c^*$(cb)
expt M	5.28	5.33	5.37	5.41	6.29	?
calc M	4.66	–	4.75	–	5.83	—
expt f	0.176	?	?	?	?	?
calc f	0.133	–	0.164	–	0.453	–

- Masses are ~ 10 % low
- It makes sense that $R_b < R_{qQ} \Rightarrow$ greater limit on low k in Σ_b
- May be partial confirmation of Brodsky and Shrock's suggestion of universal maximum wavelength for quarks/gluons in hadrons [Phys. Lett. B666, (2008)]

IR Suppression of Kernel





A Schematic Model: Flavor mixing, η, η'



- [Bhagwat, Chang, Liu, Roberts, PCT, PRC **(76), 2007; arXiv:0708.1118]**
- Structure: $K_N =$ LR vector gluon exch,
  $K_A = \mathcal{F}(\gamma_5, \not{P}\gamma_5) \otimes (\gamma_5, \not{P}\gamma_5)\mathcal{F} \ , \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathrm{diag}(1/M_f)$
- (Munczek-Nemirovsky) t-channel $\delta^4(k)$ for K_N **and** K_A
- 2 strength parameters: $\rho^0 \Rightarrow K_N \ , \quad m_{\eta'} \Rightarrow K_A$.
- Fix $m_u, m_d, m_s \cdots$ via vector mesons

$\pi^0 - \eta - \eta'$ mixing: 3 flavors

- $m_u - m_d$ causes π^0 to be mixed in:

$$135\ \mathrm{MeV}: \quad |\pi^0\rangle \sim 0.72\,\bar{u}u - 0.69\,\bar{d}d - 0.013\,\bar{s}s$$
$$455\ \mathrm{MeV}: \quad |\eta\rangle \sim 0.53\,\bar{u}u + 0.57\,\bar{d}d - 0.63\,\bar{s}s$$
$$922\ \mathrm{MeV}: \quad |\eta'\rangle \sim 0.44\,\bar{u}u + 0.45\,\bar{d}d + 0.78\,\bar{s}s$$

- $m_u = m_d \Rightarrow$

$$455\ \mathrm{MeV}: \quad |\eta\rangle \sim 0.55\,(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) - 0.63\,\bar{s}s, \quad \theta_\eta = -15.4^\circ$$
$$924\ \mathrm{MeV}: \quad |\eta'\rangle \sim 0.45\,(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) + 0.78\,\bar{s}s, \quad \theta_{\eta'} = -15.7^\circ$$

- Chiral limit: $m^2_{\eta'} = (0.852\ \mathrm{GeV})^2 \equiv 2\mathrm{tr_f}(\mathcal{F}^0)\ \langle 0|Q_t|\eta'\rangle / f^0_{\eta'}$
- cf Witten-Veneziano a-v ghost scenario $\Rightarrow m^2_{\eta'} = h^2 + m^2_{\mathrm{GB}}$
- It is worth extending to a realistic LR model for K_N with separable K_A: one obtains access to decay constants, residues, and details of the mass relations

The V-A Current Correlator

- $\Pi^V_{\mu\nu}(x) = \langle 0 | \, T \, j_\mu(x) j^\dagger_\nu(0) \, | 0 \rangle$ **,** isovector currents $j_\mu = \bar{u}\gamma_\mu d, \quad j^5_\mu = \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu d$

$$\Pi^V_{\mu\nu}(P) = (P^2\delta_{\mu\nu} - P_\mu P_\nu) \, \Pi^V(P^2)$$

$$\Pi^A_{\mu\nu}(P) = (P^2\delta_{\mu\nu} - P_\mu P_\nu) \, \Pi^A(P^2) + P_\mu P_\nu \, \Pi^L(P^2)$$



- $m_q = 0 : \quad \Pi^V - \Pi^A = 0$, to all orders in pQCD
- $\Pi^V - \Pi^A$ probes the scale for onset of non-perturbative phenomena in QCD

The 4-quark Condensates

- Operator product expansion $\Rightarrow$ leading uv behavior

$$\Pi^{V-A}(P^2) = \frac{32\pi\alpha_s\langle\bar{q}q\bar{q}q\rangle}{9\,P^6}\{1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}[\frac{247}{4\pi} + \ln(\frac{\mu^2}{P^2})]\} \,+\, \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{P^8})$$

- Often vacuum saturation ($\langle\bar{q}q\bar{q}q\rangle \approx \langle\bar{q}q\rangle^2$) is assumed for QCD Sum Rules. Validity not known.
- Extract $\langle\bar{q}q\bar{q}q\rangle$ from $\lim|_{P^2\to\infty} P^6\Pi^{V-A}(P^2)$

Model	$-<\bar{q}q>_{\mu=19}\ (GeV)^3$	$<\bar{q}q\bar{q}q>_{\mu=19}\ (GeV)^6$	$R(\mu=19)$
Set A	$(0.5682)^3$	$(0.619)^6$	1.67
Set B	$(0.1734)^3$	$(0.1902)^6$	1.74
Set C	$(0.2469)^3$	$(0.2695)^6$	1.69
Set D	$(0.216)^3$	$(0.235)^6$	1.65

——T. Nguyen, PCT, in preparation, 2008

DSE Calculation: Weinberg Sum Rules

- I: $\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty ds[\rho_v(s) - \rho_a(s)] = [P^2\,\Pi^{V-A}(P^2)]_{P^2\to 0} = -f_\pi^2$
- II: $P^2\,[P^2\,\Pi^{V-A}(P^2)]|_{P^2\to\infty} = 0$
- DGMLY: $\int_0^\infty dP^2\,[P^2\,\Pi^{V-A}(P^2)] = -\frac{4\pi f_\pi^2}{3\alpha}[m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2]$

Model	$f_\pi^2\,(GeV^2)$	$f_\pi\,(MeV)$	f_π^{exp}/f_π^{num}	$\Delta m_\pi\,(MeV)$	$(\Delta m_\pi)_{exp}$
Set A	0.00456291	67.5	1.37	4.86	
Set B	0.00538895	73.4	1.26	5.2	4.43 ± 0.03
Set C	0.00518379	72.0	1.28	4.88	

Summary

- Effective ladder-rainbow model based on QCD -DSEs; $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_\mu \Rightarrow$ 1 IR parameter
- Ground state qQ and QQ mesons (V & PS) up to b-quark region
- Constituent mass concept generates good meson masses, but appears inadequate for electroweak decays
- Dynamical dressing in $S(p)$ at each stage increases the value of the decay constant [factor of 3 for $\bar{b}b$, factor of 2 for $\bar{c}c$] !
- Gluon momenta incompatible with hadron size are largely irrelevant for cc, bb states, but need to be suppressed to even produce B, D mesons
- First BSE-DSE treatment of pion and kaon DIS for valence $u(x), s(x)$
- Used $\langle J\ J \rangle$, V-A, to estimate $\langle \bar{q}q\bar{q}q \rangle$ as $\sim$ 70% greater than vac saturation, and npQCD enters at scale 0.5 fm.

Collaborators

- Craig Roberts, Argonne National Lab
- Pieter Maris, Iowa State University
- Yu-xin Liu, Lei Chang, Peking University
- Nick Souchlas, Trang Nguyen, Kent State University

Thankyou!

Constituent Quark-like Behavior for c, b-quarks





- Mass shell positions marked for $\bar{b}b$ and $\bar{c}c$ quarkonia
- qQ mesons sample $M_Q(p^2) \sim 4$ times further into timelike region
- The same constituent or pole mass is unlikely to suffice for QQ and qQ mesons

General Pseudoscalar Mass Formula

- $N_f = 3$, charge neutral states: $p = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$

$$m_p^2 \begin{bmatrix} f_p^3 \\ f_p^8 \\ f_p^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ n_p \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2\,\mathcal{M}_{3\times 3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_p^3 \\ \rho_p^8 \\ \rho_p^0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Isospin breaking: $m_u \neq m_d$ allows anomaly, $\mathcal{F}^0$, and $s\bar{s}$ into π^0
- η' in $SU(N_f)$ limit: $\boxed{m_{\eta'}^2 f_{\eta'}^0 = n_{\eta'} + 2m\ \rho_{\eta'}^0}$

Model Bethe-Salpeter Kernel for flavor singlet?

- Vertex integral eqns do not involve $Q_t(x)$ explicitly:
  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{5\mu}(k;P) = Z_2\,\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\mathcal{F}^{\alpha} + \int^{\Lambda} K\; S_+\Gamma^{\alpha}_{5\mu}S_-$
- DSE models need: $K_{\rm BSE} = K_{\rm N} + K_{\rm A}$, both are $\bar{q}q$ irreducible, $K_{\rm N}$ is also n-gluon irreducible





- A scenario that works: Witten-Veneziano massless axial-vector ghost linking pseudoscalar GBs

c- and b-Quark Mass Function for BSE



DSE Calculation: Estimated 4 quark condensate



Model	$-<\bar{q}q>_{\mu=19}$ $(GeV)^3$	$<\bar{q}q\bar{q}q>_{\mu=19}$ $(GeV)^6$	$R(\mu=19)$
Set A	$(0.5682)^3$	$(0.619)^6$	1.67
Set B	$(0.1734)^3$	$(0.1902)^6$	1.74
Set C	$(0.2469)^3$	$(0.2695)^6$	1.69
Set D	$(0.216)^3$	$(0.235)^6$	1.65