Gluons, quarks and deconfinement at high density

Jon-Ivar Skullerud
with Simon Hands, Seyong Kim

NUI Maynooth

QCD–TNT, Trento, 9 September 2009

Outline

Background



- A plethora of phases at high μ, low T
- Based on models and perturbation theory
- Details depend on diquark gaps and strange quark mass
- Diquark condensation a generic feature

Lattice simulations?

A non-perturbative, first-principles approach is needed!

Lattice simulations?

A non-perturbative, first-principles approach is needed!

But QCD at $\mu \neq 0$ has a sign problem:

$$\gamma_5 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \gamma_5 = \mathcal{M}^\dagger(-\mu) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \det \mathcal{M} \text{ may be complex}$$

So standard Monte Carlo importance sampling can not be used!

Lattice simulations?

A non-perturbative, first-principles approach is needed!

But QCD at $\mu \neq 0$ has a sign problem:

$$\gamma_5 \mathcal{M}(\mu)\gamma_5 = \mathcal{M}^\dagger(-\mu) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \det \mathcal{M} \text{ may be complex}$$

So standard Monte Carlo importance sampling can not be used!

Indirect approach

Study QCD-like theories without a sign problem

- Generic features of strongly interacting systems at $\mu \neq 0$
- Check on model calculations

QC_2D vs QCD— Issues of interest

Gluodynamics — SU(2) and SU(3) very similar?

- Deconfinement at high density — effects on gluon propagator?
- Gap equation with effective or one-gluon interaction used to determine superconducting gap → more realistic input?
- Static magnetic gluon: unscreened at all orders in perturbation theory!

QC_2D vs QCD— Issues of interest

Gluodynamics — SU(2) and SU(3) very similar?

- Deconfinement at high density — effects on gluon propagator?
- Gap equation with effective or one-gluon interaction used to determine superconducting gap $\rightarrow$ more realistic input?
- Static magnetic gluon: unscreened at all orders in perturbation theory!

Quark propagator

- Details of phase diagram depend critically on the effective quark mass in the medium.
- Location of Fermi surface?
- Direct determination of diquark gap, size of Cooper pairs?

Tensor structure in medium

The medium breaks Lorentz (Euclidean) symmetry to O(3)
$\Longrightarrow$ 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 scalar functions in gluon, 2 $\rightarrow$ 4 in quark:

$$D_{\mu\nu}(\vec{q}, q_t) = P^T_{\mu\nu} D_M(\vec{q}^{\,2}, q_t^2) + P^E_{\mu\nu} D_E(\vec{q}^{\,2}, q_t^2) + \xi \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^4}$$

$$S^{-1}(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}) = i\vec{\not p} A(\vec{p}^{\,2}, \tilde{\omega}^2) + i\gamma_4\tilde{\omega} C(\vec{p}^{\,2}, \tilde{\omega}^2) + B(\vec{p}^{\,2}, \tilde{\omega}^2) + i\gamma_4 \vec{\not p} D(\vec{p}^{\,2}, \tilde{\omega}^2)$$

$$S(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}) = i\vec{\not p} S_a + i\gamma_4\tilde{\omega} S_c + S_b + i\gamma_4 \vec{\not p} S_d$$

where $\tilde{\omega} \equiv p_4 - i\mu$.

Gor'kov formalism

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation.
Construct Gor'kov spinor

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \overline{\psi}^T \end{pmatrix} \implies \langle \Psi(x)\bar{\Psi}(y)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{G}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} S_N & -S_A \\ \bar{S}_A & \bar{S}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Gor'kov formalism

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation.
Construct Gor'kov spinor

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \overline{\psi}^T \end{pmatrix} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle \Psi(x)\bar{\Psi}(y)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{G}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} S_N & -S_A \\ \bar{S}_A & \bar{S}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

S_A contains information about anomalous propagation
Self-energies are diquark gaps Δ (superfluid/superconducting)

Gor'kov formalism

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation.
Construct Gor'kov spinor

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \overline{\psi}^T \end{pmatrix} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle \Psi(x)\bar{\Psi}(y)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{G}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} S_N & -S_A \\ \bar{S}_A & \bar{S}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

S_A contains information about anomalous propagation
Self-energies are diquark gaps Δ (superfluid/superconducting)
General tensor structure is the same as for normal components.

Gor'kov formalism

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation.
Construct Gor'kov spinor

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \overline{\psi}^T \end{pmatrix} \implies \langle \Psi(x)\bar{\Psi}(y)\rangle \equiv \mathcal{G}(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} S_N & -S_A \\ \bar{S}_A & \bar{S}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

S_A contains information about anomalous propagation
Self-energies are diquark gaps Δ (superfluid/superconducting)
General tensor structure is the same as for normal components.

Symmetries

From isospin and charge conjugation symmetry it follows that

$$\bar{S}_N(x,y) = -S_N(y,x)^T\,, \qquad S_A(x,y) = S_A(y,x)^T$$

Fermi surface and Cooper pairs

Fermi surface

In a Fermi liquid the Fermi surface is given by

$$\det S^{-1}(\overrightarrow{p_F}, p_4 = 0) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \overrightarrow{p}^2 A^2 + \tilde{\omega}^2 C^2 + B^2 = 0$$

Pole in propagator

Fermi surface and Cooper pairs

Fermi surface

In a Fermi liquid the Fermi surface is given by

$$\det S^{-1}(\overrightarrow{p_F}, p_4 = 0) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \overrightarrow{p}^2 A^2 + \tilde{\omega}^2 C^2 + B^2 = 0$$

Pole in propagator

In gapped phase: zero crossing!

Fermi surface and Cooper pairs

Fermi surface

In a Fermi liquid the Fermi surface is given by

$$\det S^{-1}(\overrightarrow{p_F}, p_4 = 0) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \overrightarrow{p}^2 A^2 + \tilde{\omega}^2 C^2 + B^2 = 0$$

Pole in propagator

In gapped phase: zero crossing!

Size of Cooper pair

If we know the anomalous propagator $S_A(x)$ we can compute the size of the Cooper pairs:

$$\xi^2 = \frac{\int d^3x \, \overrightarrow{x}^2 |\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(S_A(x)\Lambda^+)|^2}{\int d^3x \, |\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(S_A(x)\Lambda^+)|^2}$$

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

$$S = \bar{\psi}_1 M(\mu)\psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2 M(\mu)\psi_2 - J\bar{\psi}_1(C\gamma_5)\tau_2\bar{\psi}_2^T + \bar{J}\psi_2^T(C\gamma_5)\tau_2\psi_1$$

$$\gamma_5 M(\mu)\gamma_5 = M^\dagger(-\mu), \quad C\gamma_5\tau_2 M(\mu) C\gamma_5\tau_2 = -M^*(\mu)$$

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

$$S = \bar{\psi}_1 M(\mu)\psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2 M(\mu)\psi_2 - J\bar{\psi}_1(C\gamma_5)\tau_2\bar{\psi}_2^T + \bar{J}\psi_2^T(C\gamma_5)\tau_2\psi_1$$

$$\gamma_5 M(\mu)\gamma_5 = M^\dagger(-\mu), \quad C\gamma_5\tau_2 M(\mu) C\gamma_5\tau_2 = -M^*(\mu)$$

Diquark source J introduced to

- lift low-lying eigenmodes in the superfluid phase
- study diquark condensation without uncontrolled approximations

Simulation Parameters

We work on two lattices, 'coarse' and 'fine'.
Two 'finer' lattices are used for $\mu = 0$ simulations only

Name	β	κ	Volume	a	am_π	m_π/m_ρ
coarse	1.7	0.178	$8^3 \times 16$	0.23fm	0.79	0.80
fine	1.9	0.168	$12^3 \times 24$	0.18fm	0.65	0.80
finer, h	2.0	0.162	$12^3 \times 24$		0.64	0.83
finer, l	2.0	0.163	$12^3 \times 24$		0.52	0.76

- Simulations performed with $j = J/\kappa = 0.04$ for $\mu = 0.3 - 1.0$
- 300–500 trajectories for each μ.
- Simulations with $j = 0.02, 0.06$ for $\mu = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ (coarse lattice) $\rightarrow$ enable extrapolation to $j = 0$.

Thermodynamics results



- Close to SB scaling for $\mu > \mu_d$
- Deconfining transition at $a\mu_d \sim 0.65$ on both lattices?!
- BEC (superfluid nuclear matter) → BCS (Quarkyonic superfluid) crossover?

Gluon propagator results



Some finite volume and lattice spacing effects at $\mu = 0$

In-medium modifications, incl. violations of Lorentz symmetry, visible in magnetic gluon at $\mu = 0.7$



Coarse lattice results



Static magnetic gluon extrapolated to j=0



Magnetic gluon ($q_4 = 2\pi T$) extrapolated to j=0



Electric gluon extrapolated to j=0



Fine lattice results



In-medium gluon mass

Crude fit to 'massive' form

$$D_{E,M}(\overrightarrow{q}, q_4) = \frac{Z}{\overrightarrow{q}^2 + q_4^2 + m_{e,m}^2}$$

not a good fit!

Improvement:
Try HDL-inspired form?

In-medium gluon mass

Crude fit to 'massive' form

$$D_{E,M}(\vec{q}, q_4) = \frac{Z}{\vec{q}^{\,2} + q_4^2 + m_{e,m}^2}$$

not a good fit!

Improvement:
Try HDL-inspired form?



Fit gives $m_e = 0$ for $a\mu < 0.7$ on fine lattice

Volume dependence

[coarse lattice, $\mu = 0.9, j = 0.04$]



Quark propagator results

Quark propagator in vacuum

- Large lattice spacing dependence
- Substantial quark mass dependence for $Z(p)$
- Unusual p-dependence in $Z(p)$
- infrared suppression recovered in low-mass and continuum limit?
- M(p) not yet properly corrected!



Tensor structure

Extracting form factors with the most general Ansatz for the tensor structure is complicated!

We would like to reduce the number of components to consider

Tensor structure

Extracting form factors with the most general Ansatz for the tensor structure is complicated!
We would like to reduce the number of components to consider
We find that

- the vector, scalar and temporal components of the normal propagator S_a, S_b, S_c and
- the scalar and tensor components A_b, A_d of the anomalous propagator are nonzero
- all other components are zero

Quark propagator on coarse lattice, $\mu = 0.5$



Normal propagator: spatial vector part



Normal propagator: temporal vector part

All data are for $aj = 0.04$!

Fermi momentum may be found by extrapolating zero crossing to $k_4 = 0$?



Normal propagator: scalar part



Anomalous propagator: scalar part



Anomalous propagator: tensor part



Summary

- Vacuum → BEC → BCS phase

Summary

- Vacuum → BEC → BCS phase
- Magnetic gluon strongly enhanced in BEC phase
- Screening of both magnetic and electric gluon propagator in BCS phase
  - → Electric: Debye screening
  - → Magnetic: Landau damping
  - → Static magnetic gluon is also screened!

Summary

- Vacuum → BEC → BCS phase
- Magnetic gluon strongly enhanced in BEC phase
- Screening of both magnetic and electric gluon propagator in BCS phase
  - → Electric: Debye screening
  - → Magnetic: Landau damping
  - → Static magnetic gluon is also screened!
- Strong modifications of quark propagator in BEC phase
  - → Zero crossing in vector component
    — evidence of superfluid gap and Fermi surface!
  - → Scalar component 'goes away'!
    — chiral condensate rotates into diquark condensate!

Summary

- Vacuum → BEC → BCS phase
- Magnetic gluon strongly enhanced in BEC phase
- Screening of both magnetic and electric gluon propagator in BCS phase
  - → Electric: Debye screening
  - → Magnetic: Landau damping
  - → Static magnetic gluon is also screened!
- Strong modifications of quark propagator in BEC phase
  - → Zero crossing in vector component
    — evidence of superfluid gap and Fermi surface!
  - → Scalar component 'goes away'!
    — chiral condensate rotates into diquark condensate!
- Clear signal for anomalous propagation
  - → Scalar anomalous propagator becomes ≈ constant at large μ
  - → Need to understand tensor component!

Outlook

- Extrapolate all results to zero diquark source
- Invert Gorkov propagator to obtain form factors and gaps
- Determine Fermi momentum from zero crossing in propagator
- Determine size of Cooper pairs (BEC$\rightarrow$BCS)

Outlook

- Extrapolate all results to zero diquark source
- Invert Gorkov propagator to obtain form factors and gaps
- Determine Fermi momentum from zero crossing in propagator
- Determine size of Cooper pairs (BEC→BCS)
- Study Gribov copy effects / gauge dependence using stereographic Landau gauge [with Dhagash Mehta]
- Continuum extrapolation?