

Glueballs within the Gribov-Zwanziger framework

Nele Vandersickel, in collaboration with D. Dudal, S.P. Sorella, H. Verschelde

Ghent University, Belgium
Department of Mathematical Physics and Astronomy

10 September 2009

Overview

- 1 **Introduction**
- 2 **Yang-Mills and the Landau gauge fixing**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator
- 3 **The Gribov-Zwanziger action**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator

Overview

- 1 Introduction**
- 2 Yang-Mills and the Landau gauge fixing**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator
- 3 The Gribov-Zwanziger action**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator

What are glueballs

QCD

- QCD is the theory of interactions between quarks and gluons
- **High energies**: asymptotically free
- **Low energies**: confining \Rightarrow still no good understanding, non-perturbative aspects play a role
- Therefore, study pure gluonic physical particles where confinement plays an important role:

glueballs = particle composed entirely of gluons

The experimental status

So far...

- 20 years of search towards glueball (*Crede and Meyer*)
- Many experiments:
 - ASTERIX, OBELIX, Crystal barrel at CERN,
 - BES-II (Beijing), CLEO (Cornell University), KLOE (DAPHNE, Italy)
- no definite answer: “has glueball been observed?”

The experimental status

The scalar sector: $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$

- The scalar sector is most complex and controversial sector
- $f_0(1500)$ is often considered as a candidate for the lightest glueball
- Many mixing models have been proposed for $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1500)$, $f_0(1710)$

The pseudoscalar sector: $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$

- Very unclear situation

The tensor sector: $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$

- Evidence for a tensor glueball is non-existent

The experimental status

Future experiments

- BES-III at BEPCII in Beijing (2008)
- The COMPASS Experiment at CERN (2002)
- The GlueX Experiment at Jefferson Laboratory in USA, VA (2014)
- The PANDA Experiment at GSI (part of FAIR) in Germany

Lattice QCD

Quenched approximation

- The fluctuation of a gluon into a quark-antiquark is left out
- The lightest three states: (*Morningstar*)
 - 1 scalar (0^{++}): $1.710 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
 - 2 tensor (2^{++}): $2.390 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
 - 3 pseudoscalar: (0^{+-}): $2.560 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

Different theoretical models/methods

Good review by *Mathieu, Kochelev and Vento*

- The MIT bag model (*Jaffe and Johnson*):
- Many other models/approaches: massive gluons, Effective hamiltonian, AdS/QCD, QCD sumrules, etc

Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Yang-Mills and the Landau gauge fixing**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator
- 3 The Gribov-Zwanziger action
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator

The action

Faddeev-Popov

- The partition function:

$$Z_{FP} = \int [dA] \delta(\partial A) \det M^{ab} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a}$$

with

$$M^{ab} = -\partial_\mu (\partial_\mu \delta^{ab} - g f^{abc} A_\mu^c)$$

- Equivalently

$$Z_{FP} = \int [dA][dc][d\bar{c}][db] e^{-S_{FP}}$$

with

$$S_{FP} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \int d^4x \left(b^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu D_\mu^{ab} c^b \right)$$

BRST

BRST invariance

- The action is invariant under BRST transformation:

$$sS_{FP} = 0 \qquad s^2 = 0$$

with

$$sA_\mu^a = - (D_\mu c)^a, \qquad sc^a = \frac{1}{2}gf^{abc}c^b c^c,$$

$$s\bar{c}^a = b^a, \qquad sb^a = 0,$$

- BRST invariance is at the origin of the Slavnov-Taylor identity, which allows us to prove the renormalizability
- The BRST charge allows us to define the sub-space of the physical states and to establish the unitarity of the S matrix:

$$sO_{phys} = 0, \text{ modulo } s\text{-exact parts}$$

Physical operator

The glueball operator

- Try to construct a glueball operator which is renormalizable
- For this we add $\mathcal{F} = F_{\mu\nu}^2$ coupled to a source q to the action S_{FP}
- We renormalize the action

but...

The action $S_{YM} + \int d^4x q(x) F^2(x)$ will **not** be renormalizable...
we shall need to add extra operators

Physical operator

3 different classes of $D = 4$ operators

- \mathcal{F} : Gauge invariant operators (= BRST closed, but not exact ones), e.g. $F_{\mu\nu}^2$
- \mathcal{E} : Gauge invariant exact operators, e.g. $s(\bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a)$, with s the BRST variation
- \mathcal{H} : “Equation of motion terms”, e.g. $A_\mu^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu^a}$

Mixing

- These 3 different classes can mix!
- Mixing happens in a certain way

Physical operator

3 different classes of $D = 4$ operators

- \mathcal{E} op. cannot mix with \mathcal{F} op:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle &= \langle s(\dots) \rangle = 0 \\ \langle \mathcal{E}_0 \rangle &= a \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle + b \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle}_{=0} + c \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle}_{=0}\end{aligned}$$

- \mathcal{H} op. cannot mix with \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E} as \mathcal{H} will vanish upon using the equation of motion, while \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E} will not.

Physical operator

3 different classes of $D = 4$ operators

This is equivalent with:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \mathcal{H}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}} & Z_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}} & Z_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}} \\ 0 & Z_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}} & Z_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}} \\ 0 & 0 & Z_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}$$

Physical operator

Mixing in Yang-Mills

If we couple all the operators to a source

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_0 &= \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \\ \mathcal{E}_0 &= s(\bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a) \\ \mathcal{H}_0 &= A_\mu^a \frac{\delta S_{FP}}{\delta A_\mu^a}\end{aligned}$$

and do the renormalization, we find:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \mathcal{H}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{qq}^{-1} & -Z_{Jq} Z_{qq}^{-1} & -Z_{Jq} Z_{qq}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}$$

Physical operator

Determining the mixing matrix with the help of Green's function

- We start with the following most general $(n + 2m + r)$ -point functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^{n+2m+r} &= \langle A(x_1) \dots A(x_n) c(y_1) \dots c(y_m) \bar{c}(\hat{y}_1) \bar{c}(\hat{y}_m) b(z_1) \dots b(z_r) \rangle \\ &= \int [d\Phi] A(x_1) \dots A(x_n) c(y_1) \dots c(y_m) \bar{c}(\hat{y}_1) \bar{c}(\hat{y}_m) b(z_1) \dots b(z_r) e^{-S}\end{aligned}$$

- From

$$\frac{d\mathcal{G}^{n+2m+r}}{dg^2} = \dots \text{ must be finite}$$

we can determine the matrix to all orders!

Physical operator

Result: the mixing matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \mathcal{H}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\beta/g^2}{\epsilon} & -\frac{2\gamma_c}{\epsilon} & -\frac{2\gamma_c}{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}$$

RGE

From this matrix we can find a RGE

$$\begin{aligned} O_{\text{phys}} = \mathcal{R} &= \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} \mathcal{F} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{H} \\ &= \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} F^2 + s(\dots) \end{aligned}$$

=Trace anomaly

Physical operator

Physical operators

Due to the s -invariance of the action:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O_{phys}(x)O_{phys}(y) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{R}(x)\mathcal{R}(y) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left[\frac{\beta}{g^2} F^2 + s(\dots) \right] (x) \left[\frac{\beta}{g^2} F^2 + s(\dots) \right] (y) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\frac{\beta}{g^2} \right)^2 F^2(x)F^2(y) + s(\dots) \right\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta}{g^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x)F^2(y) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Yang-Mills and the Landau gauge fixing
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator
- 3 **The Gribov-Zwanziger action**
 - The action
 - BRST
 - Physical operator

The action

- The Landau gauge is plagued by the existence of the Gribov copies:

$$\partial \tilde{A} = \partial A = 0$$

with \tilde{A}_μ a gauge transformation of A_μ

- We can get rid of part of these copies by restricting the domain of integration in the Feynman path integral to the Gribov region Ω

$$\Omega = \{A; \partial A = 0, -\partial D > 0\}$$

- The partition function

$$Z_{FP} = \int [dA] \delta(\partial A) \det M^{ab} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + S_H\right)}$$

with

$$S_H = \gamma^4 g^2 \int f^{abc} A_\mu^b (M^{-1})^{ad} f^{dec} A_\mu^e$$

The action

- The local Gribov-Zwanziger action is given by

$$S_{GZ} = \underbrace{S_{YM} + S_{gf}}_{S_{FP}} + S_0 + S_\gamma$$

with

$$S_0 = \int d^4x \left(\bar{\varphi}_\mu^{ac} M^{ab} \varphi_\mu^{bc} - \bar{\omega}_\mu^{ac} M^{ab} \omega_\mu^{bc} \right)$$

$$S_\gamma = -\gamma^2 g \int d^4x \left(f^{abc} (\varphi_\mu^{bc} + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^{bc}) A_\mu^a + \frac{4}{g} (N^2 - 1) \gamma^2 \right)$$

- The parameter γ is called the Gribov parameter, has dimension of a mass and is not free

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \gamma^2} = 0$$

with Γ the quantum action defined as $e^{-\Gamma} = \int [D\Phi] e^{-S}$

BRST

Breaking of BRST

- s is given by

$$sA_\mu^a = -(D_\mu c)^a, \quad sc^a = \frac{1}{2}gf^{abc}c^b c^c, \quad s\bar{c}^a = b^a, \quad sb^a = 0,$$

$$s\varphi_i^a = \omega_i^a, \quad s\omega_i^a = 0, \quad s\bar{\omega}_i^a = \bar{\varphi}_i^a, \quad s\bar{\varphi}_i^a = 0,$$

- S_{GZ} is **not invariant** under the BRST s :

$$sS_{\text{GZ}} = s(S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{gf}} + S_0 + S_\gamma) = s(S_\gamma) \sim \gamma^2 \neq 0$$

BRST

Breaking of BRST

- Despite breaking, GZ action is renormalizable, due to rich set of Ward identities
- Only two renormalization constants are needed: Z_A and Z_g
- Gluons get confined by the horizon:

$$\langle A_\mu^a A_\nu^b \rangle_k = \delta^{ab} \frac{k^2}{k^4 + \gamma^4} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right)$$

- complex poles \rightarrow cannot describe physical excitations
- Fourier transform shows positivity violation

BRST

Breaking of BRST

- How to define physical operators?

BRST

Restoring the BRST

- We can embed S_{GZ} in “larger” action:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\text{GZ}} &= S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{gf}} + S_0 + S_\gamma \\
 &\quad \updownarrow \\
 \Sigma_{\text{GZ}} &= S_{\text{YM}} + S_{\text{gf}} + S_0 + S_s
 \end{aligned}$$

whereby

$$S_s = s\left(\int d^4x f(\text{fields, sources})\right)$$

- In $f(\text{fields, sources})$, we have introduced two new doublets: (U, M) and (V, N)
- In the end, we set sources to values so that $S_s|_{\text{phys}} = S_\gamma$
 \Rightarrow **We didn't change the theory**

Physical operator

The glueball operator

- Perhaps this breaking is a good thing
- With Σ_{GZ} we can construct a glueball operator which is renormalizable **completely analogous** as in the Yang-Mills case
- We find the following renormalization group invariant

$$O_{\text{phys}} = \mathcal{R} = \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} \mathcal{F} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{H}$$

with $\mathcal{E} = s(\dots) + \gamma^2 D_\mu^{ab} \left(\varphi_\mu^{ba} + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^{ba} \right) + d(N^2 - 1)\gamma^4$

The counterterm

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma^C = & a_0 S_{\text{YM}} + b_0 \tilde{S}_{\text{YM}} + B_\Sigma \int d^d x \left\{ \left[a_1 (K_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \bar{c}^a) A_\mu^a + a_2 L^a c^a + a_3 U_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_i^a + a_4 V_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a + a_5 \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial^2 \varphi_i^a \right. \right. \\
 & + a_6 U_{\mu i}^a V_{\mu i}^a + a_7 g f^{abc} U_{\mu i}^a \varphi_i^b A_\mu^c + a_8 g f^{abc} V_{\mu i}^a \bar{\omega}_i^b A_\mu^c + a_9 g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^c \partial_\mu \varphi_i^b + a_{10} g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a (\partial_\mu A_\mu^c) \varphi_i^b \\
 & + a_{11} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial A_\mu^a + a_{12} X^i \partial \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^a + a_{13} X^i \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{c}^a + a_{14} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + a'_{14} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_j^a \bar{\omega}_i^b \bar{\omega}_j^c \\
 & + a_{15} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a b^a + a_{16} X^i U_{\mu i}^a A_\mu^a + a_{17} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + a'_{17} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_i^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + a''_{17} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_i^c \\
 & + a_{18} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^b c^c + a_{19} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^a + a'_{19} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^a + a_{20} X^i Y^j \bar{\omega}_a^i \bar{\omega}_a^j + a_{21} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_j^c \\
 & \left. + a'_{21} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_i^c + a_{22} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^a \right] \\
 & + q \left[b_1 (K_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \bar{c}^a) A_\mu^a + c_1 \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + b_2 L^a c^a + b_3 U_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_i^a + c_3 \partial_\mu U_{\mu i}^a \varphi_i^a + b_4 V_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a + c_4 \partial_\mu V_{\mu i}^a \bar{\omega}_i^a \right. \\
 & + b_5 \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial^2 \varphi_i^a + c_5 \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \varphi_i^a + d_5 \partial^2 \bar{\omega}_i^a \varphi_i^a + b_6 U_{\mu i}^a V_{\mu i}^a + b_7 g f^{abc} U_{\mu i}^a \varphi_i^b A_\mu^c + b_8 g f^{abc} V_{\mu i}^a \bar{\omega}_i^b A_\mu^c \\
 & + b_9 g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^c \partial_\mu \varphi_i^b + c_9 g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a (\partial_\mu A_\mu^c) \varphi_i^b + d_9 g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^c \varphi_i^b + b_{10} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial A_\mu^a + c_{10} X^i \partial \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^a \\
 & + d_{10} \partial X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^a + b_{11} X^i \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{c}^a + b_{12} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + b'_{12} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_j^a \bar{\omega}_i^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + b_{13} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a b^a + b_{14} X^i U_{\mu i}^a A_\mu^a \\
 & + b_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + b'_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_i^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + b''_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_i^c + b_{16} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^b c^c + b_{17} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^a \\
 & \left. + b'_{17} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^a + b_{18} X^i Y^j \bar{\omega}_a^i \bar{\omega}_a^j + b_{19} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_j^c + b'_{19} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_i^c + b_{20} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^a \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The counterterm

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\eta \left[e_1 K_\mu^a A_\mu^a + e'_1 \partial_\mu \bar{c}^a A_\mu^a + f_1 \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + e_2 L^a c^a + e_3 U_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_i^a + f_3 \partial_\mu U_{\mu i}^a \varphi_i^a + e_4 V_{\mu i}^a \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a + f_4 \partial_\mu V_{\mu i}^a \bar{\omega}_i^a \right. \\
& + e_5 \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial^2 \varphi_i^a + f_5 \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \varphi_i^a + g_5 \partial^2 \bar{\omega}_i^a \varphi_i^a + e_6 U_{\mu i}^a V_{\mu i}^a + e_7 g f^{abc} U_{\mu i}^a \varphi_i^b A_\mu^c + e_8 g f^{abc} V_{\mu i}^a \bar{\omega}_i^b A_\mu^c \\
& + e_9 g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^c \partial_\mu \varphi_i^b + f_9 g f^{abc} \bar{\omega}_i^a (\partial_\mu A_\mu^c) \varphi_i^b + g_9 g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^c \varphi_i^b + e_{10} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial A_\mu^a + f_{10} X^i \partial \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^a \\
& + g_{10} \partial X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a A_\mu^a + e_{11} X^i \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{c}^a + e_{12} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + e'_{12} g f_{abc} X^i \omega_j^a \bar{\omega}_i^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + e_{13} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a b^a + e_{14} X^i U_{\mu i}^a A_\mu^a \\
& + e_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + e'_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_i^b \bar{\varphi}_j^c + e''_{15} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \varphi_j^b \bar{\varphi}_i^c + e_{16} g f_{abc} X^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^b c^c + e_{17} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_j^a \bar{\omega}_j^a \\
& + e'_{17} X^i X^j \bar{\varphi}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^a + e_{18} X^i Y^j \bar{\omega}_a^j \bar{\omega}_a^i + e_{19} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_j^c + e'_{19} g f_{abc} Y^i \bar{\omega}_j^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_i^c + e_{20} Y^i \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{c}^a \left. \right] \\
& \lambda \left[h_1 g f_{abc} X^i \varphi^{aj} \bar{\omega}_i^b \bar{\omega}_j^c + h'_1 g f_{abc} X^i \varphi^{aj} \bar{\omega}_j^b \bar{\omega}_i^c + h_2 X^i \bar{c}^a \bar{\omega}_i^a + h_3 \bar{\omega}_i^a \bar{\omega}_j^b \varphi_i^a \varphi_j^b + (\text{variants of } h_3) \right] \Big\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Physical operator

The glueball operator

- *D. Zwanziger(1989)* has calculated the pole structure of

$$\int d^4x \langle F^2(x)F^2(0) \rangle e^{ipx} = G_{phys}(p) + G_{unphys}(p)$$

- G_{phys} displays a physical cut at $p^2 = -2\gamma^2$
- G_{unphys} displays unphysical cuts at $p^2 = \pm 4i\gamma^2$
- The correlator

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O_{phys}(x)O_{phys}(y) \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{R}(x)\mathcal{R}(y) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left[\frac{\beta}{g^2} F^2 - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E} \right] (x) \left[\frac{\beta}{g^2} F^2 - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E} \right] (y) \right\rangle \\ &\neq \left(\frac{\beta}{g^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x)F^2(y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

has extra parts in comparison with Zwanziger

- We have to study the pole structure of this object (future work)

Conclusion

Yang-Mills: S_{FP}

- BRST
- Physical operator is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} \mathcal{F} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E}$$

with

$$\mathcal{E} = s(\bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a)$$

- s-exact part vanishes when taking expectation value:

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(x) \mathcal{R}(y) \rangle = \left(\frac{\beta}{g^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x) F^2(y) \rangle$$

Gribov-Zwanziger: S_{GZ}

- BRST is softly broken $\rightarrow \Sigma_{GZ}$
- Physical operator is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} \mathcal{F} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = & s(\bar{c}^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}_\nu^{ac} D_\mu^{ab} \varphi_\nu^{bc}) \\ & + \gamma^2 D_\mu^{ab} (\varphi_\mu^{ba} + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^{ba}) + d(N^2 - 1) \gamma^4 \end{aligned}$$

- s-exact part does **not** vanish when taking expectation value:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{R}(x) \mathcal{R}(y) \rangle = & \left(\frac{\beta}{g^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x) F^2(y) \rangle - 2\gamma_c \frac{\beta}{g^2} \langle F^2(x) \mathcal{E}(y) \rangle \\ & - 2\gamma_c \frac{\beta}{g^2} \langle \mathcal{E}(x) F^2(y) \rangle + 4\gamma_c^2 \langle \mathcal{E}(x) \mathcal{E}(y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

- The issue of the Gribov copies leads to a modification of the Faddeev-Popov formula
- The BRST invariance of the Faddeev-Popov action turns out to be softly broken. The understanding of the physical consequences of this breaking represents a big challenge
- The possibility that, somehow, such a breaking might be relevant in order to construct the local physical operators in the presence of the Gribov horizon looks very attractive

$$O_{\text{phys}} = \mathcal{R} = \frac{\beta(g^2)}{g^2} \mathcal{F} - 2\gamma_c \mathcal{E}$$

- Also some freedom, \mathcal{E} is also a renormalization group invariant, so
$$O'_{\text{phys}} = \mathcal{R} + c\mathcal{E}$$

The End

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?

